

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | F          | ILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/918,408                              | 07/30/2001 |               | G. Michael Morris    | RPC-1US                 | 4607             |
| 23520                                   | 7590       | 05/23/2005    |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| MAURICE                                 |            | <del></del> ' | MCPHERSON, JOHN A    |                         |                  |
| 1951 BURR STREET<br>FAIRFIELD, CT 06824 |            |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |            |               |                      | 1756                    | 1756             |
|   |            |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/23/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.                   | Applicant(s)                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 09/918,408                        | MORRIS ET AL.                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner                          | Art Unit                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | John A. McPherson                 | 1756                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 October 2004</u> .  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This   |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-105</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) <u>1-86,89-96 and 105</u> is/are allowed.  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>87,88 and 97-104</u> is/are rejected.  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| The second of th |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |                                   |                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da               | nte                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>-3) ☐-Information-Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/4/04</u>.</li> </ul>  | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152)        |  |  |  |  |  |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac  | tion Summary Pa                   | rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20050512 |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1756

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/4/04 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 97-102 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 97 and 100 each require a plurality of microstructures which has an arrangement which is "not rotationally symmetric". However, this limitation is not described anywhere in the specification, including the screen design tables, to which

Art Unit: 1756

Applicant refers for support. Accordingly, the limitation "not rotationally symmetric" is viewed as new matter.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 87, 88, 97, 98, 100 and 101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,076,384 (US '384). US '384 discloses a rear-projection viewing screen comprising lens matrix elements superimposed upon the annular lens elements of a circular Fresnel lens, wherein the base portions of each of the lens matrix elements is inclined relative to the general plane of the viewing screen. See the abstract and column 5, lines 22-51. Additionally, the lens matrix may comprise elements with nonperiodic (i.e. random) phase structures. See column 7, lines 27-34.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 87, 88 and 97-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,076,384 (US '384) in view of US 6,301,051 (US '051). The disclosure of US '384 is discussed above in paragraph 4. However, with respect to claims 99 and 102-104, US '384 does not disclose an embodiment wherein the lens matrix elements have a 100 percent fill factor. US '051 discloses a high fill factor microlens array and a method of fabricating the same, wherein the method achieves an approximate 100 percent fill factor. See the abstract and column 5, lines 49-62. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the requisite art to provide microlenses having a 100 percent fill factor, as taught by US '051, as the lens matrix elements in the screen of US '384 because it is taught that this provides allows for the formation of contiguous lenslets with no substantial inter-cell spacing.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-86, 89-96 and 105 are allowed.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. McPherson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on (571) 272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/918,408 Page 5

**Art Unit: 1756** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John A. McPherson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

JAM 5/13/05